

From: MY-LIEN DUVAN
To: Mike Powell
Date: Wed, May 21, 2003 7:01 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

94-200
✓

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

MY-LIEN DUVAN
622 E HAZELTINE ST
ONTARIO, CA 91761

RECEIVED

JUN 26 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

From: John Rudolph
To: Mike Powell
Date: Thu, May 22, 2003 9:32 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. I am a small business and this change will cost me over \$1,000.00 that is used to buy supplies and pay workers - money that will not go to them now but to switching stationary, notifying customers, reprogramming my website, and other items. Is that your intention to impact the small guy who is already struggling?

RECEIVED

JUN 26 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Forget about the macro vision easy to view while sitting in a boardroom and remember that the individuals and small business owners are the backbone of California and this is a further straw on the back.

I would like to you to acknowledge your receipt of this letter.

Thank you
John Rudolph (sherpaknows@hotmail.com)

Sincerely,

John Rudolph
429 Santa Monica Blvd
Suite 330
Santa Monica, CA 90405

RECEIVED

JUN 26 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

From: Mary Wegener
To: Mike Powell
Date: Thu, May 22, 2003 9:19 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Mary Wegener
11435 Magnolia Ave
123
Riverside, CA 92505-4884

RECEIVED

JUN 26 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

From: NORBERTO PENA
To: Mike Powell
Date: Thu, May 22, 2003 10:19 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Cordially,

Norberto Pena

Sincerely,

NORBERTO PENA
24510 ZUPPARDO WAY
MORENO VALLEY, CA 92557

From: Gregg Silk
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Fri, May 23, 2003 1:32 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Gregg Silk
3028 Exposition Blvd.
Santa Monica, CA 90404

From: Donald Miller
To: Mike Powell
Date: Fri, May 23, 2003 4:45 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my serious concerns regarding the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. I have had my current mobile number for over five years. I'm not sure I could notify everyone of a number change even if I wanted to. Also, this number is a key element to my business with investments in printed advertising over time. If it's changed it would seriously impact future business developed from those past efforts!

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Donald Miller
8825 Glider Ave.
Westchester, CA 90045-4138

From: Daniel Hect
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Sat, May 24, 2003 1:11 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my displeasure concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense.

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Daniel Hect
16745 War Cloud Dr
Moreno Valley, CA 92551

From: Steven Hirschauer
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Sat, May 24, 2003 10:01 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense.

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Steven Hirschauer
11101 58th Street
Mira Loma, CA 91752

From: Hubert Lindinger
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Sun, May 25, 2003 12:07 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Hubert Lindinger
6222 Wilshire blvd.
500
Los Angeles, CA 90048

From: Marian Oshita
To: Mike Powell
Date: Mon, May 26, 2003 10:03 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Marian Oshita
9903 Santa Monica Blvd.
655
Beverly Hills, CA 90212

From: citlalli hernandez
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, May 26, 2003 10:44 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

citlalli hernandez
126 meadowlake drive
downingtown, PA 19335

From: Charles Van Eessel
To: Mike Powell
Date: Tue, May 27, 2003 2:45 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Charles Van Eessel
426 E Rustic Rd
Santa Monica, CA 90402

From: esther berg
To: Mike Powell
Date: Thu, May 29, 2003 6:35 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

esther berg
829 plantation ln
walnut, CA 91789

From: Jason Norton
To: Mike Powell
Date: Thu, May 29, 2003 7:28 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Jason Norton
32175 Lakeview Terrace
Lake Elsinore, CA 92530

From: Peter Wass
To: Michael Copps
Date: Fri, May 30, 2003 8:09 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Copps:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Peter Wass
p.o.box 540
Moreno Valley, CA 92556

From: Vikki Riddle-Cox
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sat, May 31, 2003 10:13 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Vikki Riddle-Cox
25215 Morning Dove Way
Moreno Valley, CA 92551

From: barbara pallenberg
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sun, Jun 1, 2003 7:37 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

barbara pallenberg
9021 burroughs rd
los angeles, CA 90046

From: Dennis Chavez
To: Mike Powell
Date: Tue, Jun 3, 2003 5:22 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. This would require great lengths as I have had this number for 10 years! And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Respectfully,
Dennis Chavez

Sincerely,

Dennis Chavez
5280 N Little Mountain Dr
F14
San Bernardino, CA 92407-4900

From: Yvonne Silva
To: Michael Copps
Date: Tue, Jun 3, 2003 5:33 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Copps:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Silva
532 Mayland Ave.
La Puente, CA 91746

From: Jack Alvy
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sat, Jun 7, 2003 4:23 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Jack Alvy
11154 Montana Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90049

From: Roberto Sequeira
To: Michael Copps
Date: Sat, Jun 7, 2003 4:47 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Copps:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, "roughly 3 million customers" will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the state's number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Roberto Sequeira
7211 Daybreak Pl
Alta Loma, CA 91701

From: Vicky Brown
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Fri, Jun 13, 2003 4:42 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my dismay concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to confiscate my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, it will cause significant financial loss to my and other small businesses. All materials referencing my contact information will require reprinting (as I am the president of the company, this would include all marketing materials), and I cannot estimate the cost of potentially lost business because of outdated contact information that is already in circulation.

The specifics of this plan do not appropriately address the needs of the public, nor those of small businesses. While I understand that the demand for additional phone numbers is causing a strain on the current system, this proposal is not the answer. The cost, disruption and discriminatory lean toward landline consumers is unacceptable. Particularly in California, where cutting edge technology is one of the backbones of our economy.

The all services overlay alternative would effectively serve the needs of all consumers, and maintain a level playing field for both land based and mobile customers.

Wireless users should not be singled out to solve Californias number crunch; I strongly urge you to consider the all services overlay alternative.

Sincerely,

Vicky Brown
8581 Santa Monica Blvd.
468
West Hollywood, CA 90069

From: Sadie Pollock-Barish
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon, Jun 16, 2003 12:25 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, "roughly 3 million customers" will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the state's number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Sadie Pollock-Barish
P.O. Box 6164
Malibu, CA 90264

From: Lynda Putz
To: Mike Powell
Date: Mon, Jun 16, 2003 2:26 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Lynda Putz
13584 Meadow Crest Dr.
Chino Hills, CA 91709

From: Claire Lee
To: Mike Powell
Date: Tue, Jun 17, 2003 12:42 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers?will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Claire Lee
922 Lincoln Blvd.
7
Santa Monica, CA 90403

From: Robert Wood
To: Mike Powell
Date: Tue, Jun 17, 2003 4:11 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Robert Wood
p.o. box 55451
riverside , CA 92517

From: Kenneth Stark
To: Mike Powell
Date: Tue, Jun 17, 2003 6:53 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Stark
30261 Lands End Pl.
--
Canyon Lake, CA 92587

From: Marian Naito
To: Mike Powell
Date: Wed, Jun 18, 2003 5:37 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense.

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Marian Naito
1945 Wheaton Ave.
Claremont, CA 91711

From: Amy Uyematsu
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Wed, Jun 18, 2003 11:49 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Amy Uyematsu
4024 Skelton Circle
Culver City, CA 90232-3721